REMARKS

In the Notice of Allowance dated May 13, 2010, Applicants have noticed that the

accompanying Examiner's amendment has amended a part of claim 1 without underlying it.

Applicants have corrected this oversight as well as other antecedent basis errors in claims 39 and

42. In addition, applicants noted that claim 16, which is a CRM claim with similar limitations as

claim 1, has not been amended in the same manner that claim 1 is amended in Examiner's

amendment. Accordingly, claim 16 is amended to include similar limitation that is made to claim

1 in Examiner's amendment. Furthermore, applicants noted that claim 37 also contains certain

antecedent basis errors. Accordingly, in this amendment, claims 1, 16, 37, 39, and 42 are

amended to correct these antecedent basis and/or above-mentioned errors. Applicants have not

added or changed the scope of the allowed claims. Applicants request that the above amended

claims be entered pursuant to 37 CFR §1.312.

1. Why the amendment is needed

The Amendment is needed to correct certain antecedent basis errors in some of the

claims.

2. Why the proposed amended claims require no additional search or examination

The Examiner has already stated in the Notice of Allowance that all the claims are

allowed. The Amendment does not introduce new subject matter and correcting the antecedent

basis errors in some of the claims does not change the scope of the claims and therefore requires

no additional search.

Attorney Docket No.: APLE.P0037 USPTO Serial No.: 10/716,265

USPTO Serial No.: 10//16,265 Client Docket No.: P3086US1 3. Why the claims are patentable

The Examiner has already stated that the claims as provided above are allowable.

Applicants have not added or changed the scope of the allowed claims. Therefore, the claims are

patentable.

4. Why the claims were not presented earlier

Upon receiving the Notice of Allowance, Applicants recognized some of the claims

require amendments to correct certain antecedent basis errors. Therefore, this amendment is

being submitted in compliance with 37 CFR §1.312.

- Att

Attorney Docket No.: APLE.P0037 USPTO Serial No.: 10/716,265 Client Docket No.: P3086US1 **CONCLUSION** 

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the amendment to the

claims above be entered pursuant to §1.312. Applicants have submitted all known required fees

and petitions. Accordingly, Applicants believe that no additional fees, petitions for extensions of

time, other petitions, and/or other forms of relief are required for the submission of this

Amendment. However, in the unlikely event that the Commissioner determines that additional

fees, petitions for extensions of time, other petitions, and/or other forms of relief are required,

Applicants hereby make such petitions as are required, request such extensions of time and other

forms of relief as are required, and authorize the Commissioner to charge the cost of such

petitions for extensions of time, other petitions, and/or other forms of relief to **Deposit Account** 

No. 50-3804, referencing APLE.P0037.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: August 13, 2010

/Ali Makoui/

Ali Makoui

Reg. No. 45,536

Adeli & Tollen LLP

11940 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 100

Los Angeles, CA 90049

Tel. (310) 442-9300 x 302

Fax. (310) 442-9330

Attorney Docket No.: APLE.P0037 USPTO Serial No.: 10/716,265 Client Docket No.: P3086US1